



STATE CHARTER SCHOOLS COMMISSION OF GEORGIA

Accountability Update: College and Career Readiness Performance Index (CCRPI)

May 28, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY

College and Career Readiness Performance Index (CCRPI)	2
--	---

SUMMARY

2013 Performance Comparisons—State Charters and Local Districts.	3
--	---

2013 STATE CHARTER SCHOOL PERFORMANCE RANKINGS

TABLE 1. Overall CCRPI Score	5
TABLE 2. Achievement Sub-Score	6
TABLE 3. Progress/Growth Sub-Score	7
TABLE 4. Achievement Gap Reduction Sub-Score.	8
TABLE 5. Challenge/Bonus Points	9
TABLE 6. Overall Single Score	10

2013 STATE CHARTER SCHOOL PROFILES

Atlanta Heights Charter.	11
Charter Conservatory for Liberal Arts and Technology (CCAT)	12
Cherokee Charter Academy.	13
Coweta Charter Academy.	14
Fulton Leadership Academy.	15
Georgia Connections Academy.	16
Heritage Preparatory Academy.	17
Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	18
Ivy Preparatory Academy (Kirkwood)	19
Ivy Preparatory Young Men’s Leadership Academy.	20
Mountain Education Charter High School	21
Odyssey/Georgia Cyber Academy.	22
Pataula Charter Academy.	23
Provost Academy Georgia.	24
Scholars Academy.	25
Table 7. Statewide Performance Comparisons (All Categories).	26
Table 8: 2013 CCRPI Score Comparisons to Prior Year’s Performance (2012)	27

APPENDIX B: ANALYSIS OF 2013 CCRPI PERFORMANCE AND VALUE-ADD PERFORMANCE	28
---	----

SUMMARY:

College and Career Readiness Performance Index (CCRPI)

1. In 2012, Georgia was one of 10 states granted a waiver for a portion of the accountability requirements of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), more commonly known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB). This waiver allowed the state to use a new accountability measure—the College and Career Readiness Performance Index (CCRPI)—to replace the previously used Adequately Year Progress (AYP) determination. In May 2014, the Georgia Department of Education (GaDOE) released the CCRPI scores based upon the 2012-2013 school year.
2. The Index is designed to communicate how schools are performing in a more comprehensive manner than the pass/fail system previously in place under Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The CCRPI includes scores that easily communicate to the public how a school is doing. Each school receives a score on a 110 point scale.
3. A school and district's overall score is made up of three major areas:
 1. **Achievement** (60 possible points),
 2. **Progress/ Growth** (25 possible points) and
 3. **Achievement Gap Reduction** (15 possible points)
 - In addition to the three major areas, schools may receive “Challenge Points” to add to their scores (up to 10 possible points).
 - They may receive these points if they have a significant number of Economically Disadvantaged students, English Learner students and Students with Disabilities meeting expectations.
 - They can also receive points for going beyond the targets of the CCRPI by challenging students to exceed expectations and participate in college and career ready programs.

Overview of CCRPI categories

- **Achievement** (60 points, 54.5% of total possible score)
 - Content Mastery on CRCT/EOCT tests in core subjects.
 - Post High School Readiness (e.g.: career pathways, ACT/SAT/AP/IB exam performance, world language coursework, reading/writing skills, and attendance).
 - Graduation rate (Four- and five-year graduation rates with more weight given to the four-year rate) in high school or a “Predictor for High School Graduation” for elementary and middle schools (an additional, different look at CRCT performance).¹
 - **Progress** (25 points, 22.7% of total possible score)
 - Measured by the percentage of students earning typical or high growth on state assessments. This percentage is derived from Student Growth Percentiles (SGPs), which compare a student's growth with other students with similar past achievement.¹
 - **Achievement Gap** (15 points, 13.6% of total possible score)
 - Based upon schools' achievement gap size and change in that gap. The gap is measured between the schools' bottom 25% of students and the state average.¹
4. **Challenge Points** (10 possible points, 9.1% of total possible score) as a sum of the following:
 - *Exceeding the Bar (ETB) Points*
 - Ten items worth 0.5 point each that focus on innovative practices and career-related outcomes. Only the top 5% in each category qualify for points, with the exception of the innovative practice point.
 - *EL/ED/SWD Performance Points*
 - Based upon subgroup performance of economically disadvantaged students (ED), students with disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (EL) on performance flags set forth in the ESEA waiver. Schools can only receive points proportionally for the percentage of students in these subgroups in their school. For example, schools with 50% of students in one or more of these groups can receive a maximum of 5 points (50% of 10 points) if every subgroup meets all targets.

¹ Beaudette, Pascael and Sam Rauschenberg. (2014). State-level Analysis of 2012-13 CCRPI Release. Retrieved from GOSA website <http://gosa.georgia.gov/state-level-analysis-2012-13-ccrpi-release>

SUMMARY:

2013 Performance Comparisons—State Charters and Local Districts

Overall CCRPI Scores:

- 33% of state charters serving students in **elementary** grades (K-5) outperformed comparison districts. These schools include:
 - Cherokee Charter Academy
 - Ivy Preparatory Academy at Kirkwood for Girls
 - Pataula Charter Academy
 - 55% of state charters serving students in **middle** grades (6-8) outperformed comparison districts. These schools include:
 - Charter Conservatory for Liberal Arts and Technology (CCAT)
 - Fulton Leadership Academy
 - Heritage Preparatory Academy School
 - Ivy Preparatory Academy at Kirkwood for Girls
 - Ivy Preparatory Academy Young Men’s Leadership Academy
 - Pataula Charter Academy
 - No state charters serving students in **high** school grades (9-12) outperformed comparison districts.
 - Note: One (1) of the six (6) state charters serving high school grades had too few students in each quartile to compare for the overall score calculation.
-

Performance by Sub-Category:

1. Achievement Sub-Score: (60 Possible Points)
 - 22% of state charters serving students in **elementary** grades (K-5) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts in 2013.
 - 45% of state charters serving students in **middle** grades (6-8) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts in 2013.
 - 0% of state charters serving students in **high** school grades (9-12) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts in 2013.
 - Note: One (1) of the six (6) state charters serving high school grades had too few students in each quartile to compare for this sub-score calculation. Of the five (5) remaining schools, zero (0) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts.
2. Student Progress/Growth Sub-Score: (25 Possible Points)
 - 33% of state charters serving students in **elementary** grades (K-5) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts in 2013.
 - 27% of state charters serving students in **middle** grades (6-8) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts in 2013.
 - 20% of state charters serving students in **high** school grades (9-12) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts in 2013.
 - Note: One (1) of the six (6) state charters serving high school grades had too few students in each quartile to compare for this sub-score calculation. Of the five (5) remaining schools, one (1) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts.

(continued on next page)

3. Achievement Gap Reduction Sub-Score: (15 Points)
 - 63% of state charters serving students in **elementary** grades (K-5) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts in 2013.
 - Note: One (1) of the nine (9) state charters serving elementary grades had too few students in each quartile to compare for this sub-score calculation. Of the eight (8) remaining schools, five (5) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts.
 - 82% of state charters serving students in **middle** grades (6-8) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts in 2013.
 - 33% of state charters serving students in **high** school grades (9-12) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts in 2013.
 4. Challenge/Bonus Points: (10 Points)
 - 67% of state charters serving students in **elementary** grades (K-5) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts in 2013.
 - 60% of state charters serving students in **middle** grades (6-8) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts in 2013.
 - Note: One (1) of the eleven (11) state charters serving middle grades had too few students in each quartile to compare for this sub-score calculation. Of the ten (10) remaining schools, six (6) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts.
 - 20% of state charters serving students in **high** school grades (9-12) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts in 2013.
 - Note: One (1) of the six (6) state charters serving high school grades had too few students in each quartile to compare for this sub-score calculation. Of the five (5) remaining schools, one (1) performed at or above the level of their comparison districts.
-

Overall Single Scores

This single score serves as the overall school score. The single score is calculated when the school configuration includes multiple grade bands (i.e. a K-12 school would get receive 3 CCRPI scores for elementary, middle, and high school grade bands, and it would also receive an overall single score for the entire school.)

- 33% of all state charters outperformed comparison districts 2013. These schools included:
 - Cherokee Charter Academy
 - Fulton Leadership Academy
 - Heritage Preparatory Academy School
 - Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls
 - Pataula Charter Academy

STATE CHARTER SCHOOL PERFORMANCE RANKINGS: TABLE 1

Overall CCRPI Scores—High to Low (100 Possible Points)

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	CCRPI Score	District Score	Outperforming the District?
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	E	81.5	77.3	Y
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	E	79.1	61.1*	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	E	76.9	63.6	Y
2013	SCSC	Coweta Charter Academy	E	76.4	77.2	N
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	E	67.9	78.5**	N
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	E	63	78.5**	N
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	E	59.3	67.7	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	E	56.7	63.6	N
2013	SCSC	Scholars Academy Charter School	E	46.2	67.7	N
Middle Schools						
2013	SCSC	Fulton Leadership Academy	M	95.5	75.2	Y
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	M	81.1	83.8	N
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	M	80.9	66.5*	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	M	79.6	86.5	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	M	79	60.2	Y
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	M	76.1	75.5	Y
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	M	73.1	75**	N
2013	SCSC	Heritage Preparatory Academy School	M	68.9	65.8	Y
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	M	66	75**	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	M	62	60.2	Y
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	M	59.1	65.8	N
High Schools						
2013	SCSC	Mountain Education Center School	H	62.7	72**	N
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	H	60.9	72**	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	H	58.2	75.1	N
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	H	55.3	72**	N
2013	SCSC	Provost Academy Georgia	H	37	72**	N
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	H	N/A	74.8	N/A

*Because Pataula Charter Academy has a five district attendance zone, the school's CCRPI score is compared to the average CCRPI score of Baker, Calhoun, Clay, Early, and Randolph Counties.

** Odyssey/Georgia Cyber Academy's CCRPI score is compared to that of the state since 97% of the school's enrollment is made up of statewide virtual students. Provost Academy, Georgia Connections Academy, and Mountain Education High School are also compared to the state average because they have statewide attendance zones.

STATE CHARTER SCHOOL PERFORMANCE RANKINGS: TABLE 2
Achievement Sub-Score—High to Low (60 Possible Points)

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Names (In Alphabetical Order)	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	District Score	Outperforming the District?
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	E	50.7	51.4	N
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	E	49.7	35.9*	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	E	44.4	40.8	Y
2013	SCSC	Coweta Charter Academy	E	43.7	50.2	N
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	E	40.8	47.5**	N
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	E	35.6	47.5**	N
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	E	34.6	42.2	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	E	31.8	40.8	N
2013	SCSC	Scholars Academy Charter School	E	29.9	41.9	N
Grade Cluster: Middle						
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	M	52.8	53.5	N
2013	SCSC	Fulton Leadership Academy	M	52.3	50.4	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	M	50.5	52.8	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	M	48.9	41.5	Y
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	M	48.7	39.8*	Y
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	M	46.2	48.4	N
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	M	43.9	48.3**	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	M	42.6	41.5	Y
2013	SCSC	Heritage Preparatory Academy School	M	41.8	41.2	Y
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	M	41.3	48.3**	N
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	M	39.3	41.2	N
Grade Cluster: High						
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	H	40.5	46.9	N
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	H	39.1	43.6**	N
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	H	32.8	43.6**	N
2013	SCSC	Mountain Education Center School	H	29.7	43.6**	N
2013	SCSC	Provost Academy Georgia	H	24.7	43.6**	N
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	H	N/A	42.6	N/A

*Because Pataula Charter Academy has a five district attendance zone, the school's CCRPI score is compared to the average CCRPI score of Baker, Calhoun, Clay, Early and Randolph Counties.

**Odyssey/Georgia Cyber Academy's CCRPI score is compared to that of the state since 97% of the school's enrollment is made up of statewide virtual students. Provost Academy, Georgia Connections Academy, and Mountain Education High School are also compared to the state average because they have statewide attendance zones.

STATE CHARTER SCHOOL PERFORMANCE RANKINGS: TABLE 3
Progress Sub-Score—High to Low (15 Possible Points)

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Progress Points	District Score	Outperforming the District?
2013	SCSC	Coweta Charter Academy	E	19.7	15.9	Y
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	E	16.7	16.2	Y
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	E	15.9	13.8*	Y
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	E	15.2	16.5**	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	E	14.7	15.1	N
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	E	14.7	16.5**	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	E	14.2	15.1	N
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	E	13.7	15.9	N
2013	SCSC	Scholars Academy Charter School	E	13.3	16.3	N
2013	SCSC	Fulton Leadership Academy	M	18.7	16.5	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	M	17.7	15.4	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	M	16.4	17.7	N
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	M	16.4	17.1	N
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	M	17.9	15.2*	Y
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	M	15.4	16.2	N
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	M	14.9	17.6	N
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	M	14.8	16.7**	N
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	M	14.7	16.7**	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	M	13.4	15.4	N
2013	SCSC	Heritage Preparatory Academy School	M	12.7	16.2	N
2013	SCSC	Mountain Education Center School	H	16.4	16.3**	Y
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	H	15.8	16.3**	N
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School**	H	13.4	16.3**	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	H	12.7	15.4	N
2013	SCSC	Provost Academy Georgia	H	11.1	16.3**	N
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	H	N/A	16.2	N/A

*Because Pataula Charter Academy has a five district attendance zone, the school's CCRPI score is compared to the average CCRPI score of Baker, Calhoun, Clay, Early, and Randolph Counties.

**Odyssey/Georgia Cyber Academy's CCRPI score is compared to that of the state since 97% of the school's enrollment is made up of statewide virtual students. Provost Academy, Georgia Connections Academy, and Mountain Education High School are also compared to the state average because they have statewide attendance zones.

STATE CHARTER SCHOOL PERFORMANCE RANKINGS: TABLE 4
Achievement Gap Closure Sub-Score—High to Low (15 Possible Points)

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Names	Grade Cluster	Achievement Gap Points	District Points	Outperforming the District?
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	E	15	9**	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	E	13	7	Y
2013	SCSC	Coweta Charter Academy	E	11	7	Y
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	E	11	6	Y
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	E	10	8	Y
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	E	7	9**	N
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	E	6	7.2*	N
2013	SCSC	Scholars Academy Charter School	E	3	9	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	E	N/A	7	N/A
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	M	15	6	Y
2013	SCSC	Fulton Leadership Academy	M	14	6	Y
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	M	13	7**	Y
2013	SCSC	Heritage Preparatory Academy School	M	11	8	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy School (Gwinnett)	M	10	10	SAME
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	M	9	8.8*	Y
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	M	9	10	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	M	8	3	Y
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	M	8	7**	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	M	5	3	Y
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	M	1	8	N
2013	SCSC	Mountain Education Center School	H	11.3	8.7**	Y
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	H	6.3	8.7**	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	H	5	8.7	N
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	H	5	8.7**	N
2013	SCSC	Provost Academy Georgia	H	1.2	8.7**	N
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	H	N/A	12.5	N

*Because Pataula Charter Academy has a five district attendance zone, the school's CCRPI score is compared to the average CCRPI score of Baker, Calhoun, Clay, Early and Randolph Counties.

**Odyssey/Georgia Cyber Academy's CCRPI score is compared to that of the state since 97% of the school's enrollment is made up of statewide virtual students. Provost Academy, Georgia Connections Academy, and Mountain Education High School are also compared to the state average because they have statewide attendance zones.

STATE CHARTER SCHOOL PERFORMANCE RANKINGS: TABLE 5
Challenge/Bonus Points—High to Low (10 Possible Points)

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Names	Grade Cluster	Challenge Points		District Score	Outperforming the District?
				ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points		
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	E	.5	7	1.2*	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	E	.5	4.3	.7	Y
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	E	.5	2.6	3.7	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	E	.5	2.1	.7	Y
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	E	.5	1.6	5.5**	N
2013	SCSC	Coweta Charter Academy	E	.5	1.5	4.1	N
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	E	1	0	1.6	N
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	E	.5	0	5.5**	N
2013	SCSC	Scholars Academy Charter School	E	0	0	.5	N
2013	SCSC	Fulton Leadership Academy	M	.5	10	2.3	Y
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	M	0	5.3	2.7*	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	M	0	4.4	.3	Y
2013	SCSC	Heritage Preparatory Academy School	M	0	3.4	.4	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	M	0	2.7	5.7	N
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	M	0	2	3**	N
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	M	0	1.9	2.9	N
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	M	.5	.9	.4	Y
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	M	0	1.4	3**	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	M	0	1	.3	Y
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	M	0	0	3.5	N
2013	SCSC	Mountain Education Center School	H	0	5.3	3.4 **	Y
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	H	0	2.1	3.4**	N
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	H	0	1.7	3.4**	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	H	0	0	3.9	N
2013	SCSC	Provost Academy Georgia	H	0	0	3.4**	N
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	H	N/A	N/A	3.5	N/A

*Because Pataula Charter Academy has a five district attendance zone, the school's CCRPI score is compared to the average CCRPI score of Baker, Calhoun, Clay, Early and Randolph Counties.

**Odyssey/Georgia Cyber Academy's CCRPI score is compared to that of the state since 97% of the school's enrollment is made up of statewide virtual students. Provost Academy, Georgia Connections Academy, and Mountain Education High School are also compared to the state average because they have statewide attendance zones.

STATE CHARTER SCHOOL PERFORMANCE RANKINGS: TABLE 6
Overall Single Score—High to Low (100 Possible Points)

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name (In Alphabetical Order)	Single Score	District Score	Outperforming the District?
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	59.3	65.3	N
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	34.8***	75.4	N
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	81.4	79.7	Y
2013	SCSC	Coweta Charter Academy	76.4	77.4	N
2013	SCSC	Fulton Leadership Academy	95.5	77.5	Y
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	65.6	75.8**	N
2013	SCSC	Heritage Preparatory Academy School	68.9	65.3	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	77.6	62.4	Y
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	75.5	82.8	N
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	58.4	62.4	N
2013	SCSC	Mountain Education Center School	62.7	75.8**	N
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	63.6	75.8**	N
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	79.6	61.2*	Y
2013	SCSC	Provost Academy Georgia	37	75.8**	N
2013	SCSC	Scholars Academy Charter School	46.2	68.9	N

*Because Pataula Charter Academy has a five district attendance zone, the school's CCRPI score is compared to the average CCRPI score of Baker, Calhoun, Clay, Early and Randolph Counties.

**Odyssey/Georgia Cyber Academy's CCRPI score is compared to that of the state since 97% of the school's enrollment is made up of statewide virtual students. Provost Academy, Georgia Connections Academy, and Mountain Education High School are also compared to the state average because they have statewide attendance zones.

*** In order for a school or grade band to receive a score, it must have a minimum of 15 Full Academic Year students (students enrolled in the course for at least 60% of length of the course) with test scores for at least one subject assessment. CCAT's high school has too few Full Academic Year (FAY) students with test scores for at least one subject area assessment to receive a score. As a result, it received "NAs" in each high school performance category, and the business rules utilized for the 2013 reports treated "NAs" as zeros. Because the single score is a weighted score based on enrollment for each grade band, the NAs/zeros received at the high school level contributed to an artificially low single score for the school. GaDOE Accountability will be correcting this issue for the 2015 reports by removing any grade band not meeting the FAY requirement from the single score calculation.

ATLANTA HEIGHTS

DISTRICT COMPARISON: ATLANTA PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	Atlanta Public Schools	All Schools	E	42.2	15.9	8	0.6	1	1.6	67.7	65.3
2013	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	E	34.6	13.7	10	1	0	1	59.3	59.3
2013	Atlanta Public Schools	All Schools	M	41.2	16.2	8	0.4	0	.4	65.8	65.3
2013	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	M	39.3	15.4	1	0.5	0.9	1.4	59.1	59.3

CHARTER CONSERVATORY FOR LIBERAL ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY (CCAT)

COMPARISON DISTRICT: BULLOCH COUNTY

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	Bulloch County	All Schools	M	48.4	17.6	6	0.1	3.4	3.5	75.5	75.4
2013	CCAT	CCAT School	M	46.2	14.9	15	0	0	0	76.1	34.8
2013	Bulloch County	All Schools	H	42.6	16.2	12.5	0.2	3.3	3.5	74.8	75.4
2013	CCAT	CCAT School	H	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	34.8

CHEROKEE CHARTER ACADEMY

DISTRICT COMPARISON: CHEROKEE COUNTY

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	Cherokee County	All Schools	E	51.4	16.2	6	0.6	3.1	3.7	77.3	79.7
2013	Cherokee Charter Academy	Cherokee Charter Academy	E	50.7	16.7	11	0.5	2.6	3.1	81.5	81.4
2013	Cherokee County	All Schools	M	53.5	17.1	10	0.3	2.9	3.2	83.8	79.7
2013	Cherokee Charter Academy	Cherokee Charter Academy	M	52.8	16.4	9	1	1.9	2.9	81.1	81.4

COWETA CHARTER ACADEMY

COMPARISON DISTRICT: COWETA COUNTY

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	Coweta County	All Schools	E	50.2	15.9	7	1	3.1	4.1	77.2	77.4
2013	Coweta Charter Academy	Coweta Charter Academy	E	43.7	19.7	11	0.5	1.5	2	76.4	76.4

FULTON LEADERSHIP ACADEMY
COMPARISON DISTRICT: FULTON COUNTY

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	Fulton County	All Schools	M	50.4	16.5	6	0.6	1.7	2.3	75.2	77.5
2013	Fulton Leadership Academy	Fulton Leadership Academy	M	52.3	18.7	14	0.5	10	10.5	95.5	95.5

GEORGIA CONNECTIONS ACADEMY (VIRTUAL)

STATEWIDE COMPARISON

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	All Systems	All Schools	E	47.5	16.5	9	0.7	4.8	5.5	78.5	75.8
2013	Georgia Connections Academy	Georgia Connections Academy	E	35.6	15.2	15	0.5	1.6	2.1	67.9	65.6
2013	All Systems	All Schools	M	48.3	16.7	7	0.4	2.6	3	75	75.8
2013	Georgia Connections Academy	Georgia Connections Academy	M	43.9	14.8	13	0	1.4	1.4	73.1	65.6
2013	All Systems	All Schools	H	43.6	16.3	8.7	0.2	3.2	3.4	72	75.8
2013	Georgia Connections Academy	Georgia Connections Academy	H	32.8	15.8	5	0	1.7	1.7	55.3	65.6

**HERITAGE PREPARATORY ACADEMY
COMPARISON: ATLANTA PUBLIC SCHOOLS**

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	Atlanta Public Schools	All Schools	M	41.2	16.2	8	0.4	0	0.4	65.8	65.3
2013	Heritage Preparatory Academy School	Heritage Preparatory Academy School	M	41.8	12.7	11	0	3.4	3.4	68.9	68.9

IVY PREPARATORY ACADEMY (GWINNETT)

DISTRICT COMPARISON: GWINNETT COUNTY

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	Gwinnett County	All Schools	M	52.8	17.7	10	0.3	5.7	6	86.5	82.8
2013	Ivy Preparatory Academy School (Gwinnett)	Ivy Preparatory Academy School (Gwinnett)	M	50.5	16.4	10	0	2.7	2.7	79.6	75.5
2013	Gwinnett County	All Schools	H	46.9	15.4	8.7	0.2	3.9	4.1	75.1	82.8
2013	Ivy Preparatory Academy School (Gwinnett)	Ivy Preparatory Academy School (Gwinnett)	H	40.5	12.7	5	0	0	0	58.2	75.5

IVY PREPARATORY ACADEMY (KIRKWOOD)

COMPARISON DISTRICT: DEKALB COUNTY

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	DeKalb County	All Schools	E	40.8	15.1	7	0.7	0	0.7	63.6	62.4
2013	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	E	44.4	14.7	13	0.5	4.3	4.8	76.9	77.6
2013	DeKalb County	All Schools	M	41.5	15.4	3	0.3	0	0.3	60.2	62.4
2013	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	M	48.9	17.7	8	0	4.4	4.4	79	77.6

IVY PREPARATORY YOUNG MEN'S LEADERSHIP ACADEMY

DISTRICT COMPARISON: DEKALB COUNTY

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	DeKalb County	All Schools	E	40.8	15.1	7	0.7	0	0.7	63.6	62.4
2013	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy School	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy School	E	31.8	14.2	NA	0.5	2.1	2.6	56.7	58.4
2013	DeKalb County	All Schools	M	41.5	15.4	3	0.3	0	0.3	60.2	62.4
2013	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy School	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy School	M	42.6	13.4	5	0	1	1	62	58.4

MOUNTAIN EDUCATION CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL

STATEWIDE COMPARISON

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	All Systems	All Schools	H	43.6	16.3	8.7	0.2	3.2	3.4	72	75.8
2013	Mountain Education Center	Mountain Education Center	H	29.7	16.4	11.3	0	5.3	5.3	62.7	62.7

ODYSSEY SCHOOL/GEORGIA CYBER ACADEMY

STATEWIDE COMPARISON

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H = High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	All Systems	All Schools	E	47.5	16.5	9	0.7	4.8	5.5	78.5	75.8
2013	Odyssey School	Odyssey School	E	40.8	14.7	7	0.5	0	0.5	63	63.6
2013	All Systems	All Schools	M	48.3	16.7	7	0.4	2.6	3	75	75.8
2013	Odyssey School	Odyssey School	M	41.3	14.7	8	0	2	2	66	63.6
2013	All Systems	All Schools	H	43.6	16.3	8.7	0.2	3.2	3.4	72	75.8
2013	Odyssey School	Odyssey School	H	39.1	13.4	6.3	0	2.1	2.1	60.9	63.6

PATAULA CHARTER ACADEMY

COMPARISON DISTRICTS: CALHOUN, EARLY, CLAY, BAKER, AND RANDOLPH COUNTIES

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	Calhoun County	All Schools	E	37.4	8.2	1	.5	0	0	47.1	54.4
2013	Early County	All Schools	E	37	16.5	6	.5	0	0	60	68.8
2013	Clay County	All Schools	E	38.1	16.1	10	1	6	6	71.2	68.9
2013	Baker County	All Schools	E	34	15.8	14	.5	0	0	64.3	61.6
2013	Randolph County	All Schools	E	33.2	12.4	5	.5	0	0	51.1	51.7
			<i>AVG E</i>	35.9	13.8	7.2	.6	1.2	1.2	58.74	61.1
2013	Pataula Charter Academy	Pataula Charter Academy	E	49.7	15.9	6	0.5	7	7.5	79.1	79.6
2013	Calhoun County	All Schools	M	42.9	13.5	3	.5	4	4	63.9	54.4
2013	Early County	All Schools	M	48.1	18	13	.5	6.2	6.2	85.8	68.8
2013	Clay County	All Schools	M	37.8	13.7	10	.5	2	2	64	68.9
2013	Baker County	All Schools	M	37.7	15.5	7	0	0	0	60.2	61.6
2013	Randolph County	All Schools	M	32.7	14.9	11	0	0	0	58.6	51.7
			<i>AVG M</i>	39.8	15.2	8.8	.3	2.4	1.36	54.46	61.1
2013	Pataula Charter Academy	Pataula Charter Academy	M	48.7	17.9	9	0	5.3	5.3	80.9	79.6

PROVOST ACADEMY GEORGIA

STATEWIDE COMPARISON

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	All Systems	All Schools	H	43.6	16.3	8.7	0.2	3.2	3.4	72	75.8
2013	Provost Academy Georgia	Provost Academy Georgia	H	24.7	11.1	1.2	0	0	0	37	37

SCHOLARS ACADEMY

COMPARISON DISTRICT: CLAYTON COUNTY

Performing Below the District Average, Performing At the District Average, Performing Above the District Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Name	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points	Challenge Points	CCRPI Score	Single Score
2013	Clayton County	All Schools	E	41.9	16.3	9	0.5	0	0.5	67.7	65.5
2013	Scholars Academy Charter School	Scholars Academy Charter School	E	29.9	13.3	3	0	0	0	46.2	46.2

STATE CHARTER SCHOOL PERFORMANCE RANKINGS: TABLE 7
Comparisons to the State Average, All Categories, In Alphabetical Order
Performing Below the State Average, Performing Above the State Average
E = Elementary, M = Middle, H = High

School Year	System Name	School Names (In Alphabetical Order)	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points	Progress Points	Achievement Gap Points	Challenge Points		CCRPI Score	Single Score
							ETB Points	EL/ED/SWD Performance Points		
2013	STATE	All Schools	E	47.5	16.5	9	0.7	4.8	78.5	75.8
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	E	34.6	13.7	10	1	0	59.3	59.3
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	E	50.7	16.7	11	0.5	2.6	81.5	81.4
2013	SCSC	Coweta Charter Academy	E	43.7	19.7	11	0.5	1.5	76.4	76.4
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	E	35.6	15.2	15	0.5	1.6	67.9	65.6
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	E	44.4	14.7	13	0.5	4.3	76.9	77.6
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	E	31.8	14.2	N/A	0.5	2.1	56.7	58.4
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	E	40.8	14.7	7	0.5	0	63	63.6
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	E	49.7	15.9	6	0.5	7	79.1	79.6
2013	SCSC	Scholars Academy Charter School	E	29.9	13.3	3	0	0	46.2	46.2
2013	STATE	All Schools	M	48.3	16.7	7	0.4	2.6	75	75.8
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	M	39.3	15.4	1	0.5	0.9	59.1	59.3
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	M	46.2	14.9	15	0	0	76.1	34.8
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	M	52.8	16.4	9	0	1.9	81.1	81.4
2013	SCSC	Fulton Leadership Academy	M	52.3	18.7	14	0.5	10	95.5	95.5
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	M	43.9	14.8	13	0	1.4	73.1	65.6
2013	SCSC	Heritage Preparatory Academy School	M	41.8	12.7	11	0	3.4	68.9	68.9
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	M	48.9	17.7	8	0	4.4	79	77.6
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	M	50.5	16.4	10	0	2.7	79.6	75.5
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	M	42.6	13.4	5	0	1	62	58.4
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	M	41.3	14.7	8	0	2	66	63.6
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	M	48.7	17.9	9	0	5.3	80.9	79.6
2013	STATE	All Schools	H	43.6	16.3	8.7	0.2	3.2	72	75.8
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	H	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	34.8
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	H	32.8	15.8	5	0	1.7	55.3	65.6
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	H	40.5	12.7	5	0	0	58.2	75.5
2013	SCSC	Mountain Education Center School	H	29.7	16.4	11.3	0	5.3	62.7	62.7
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	H	39.1	13.4	6.3	0	2.1	60.9	63.6
2013	SCSC	Provost Academy Georgia	H	24.7	11.1	1.2	0	0	37	37

STATE CHARTER SCHOOL PERFORMANCE RANKINGS: TABLE 8

2013 CCRPI Score Comparison to Prior Year (2012), All Categories, In Alphabetical Order

Performing Below the 2012 Average, Performing At the 2012 Average, Performing Above the 2012 Average

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H = High

System Name	School Names (In Alphabetical Order)	Grade Cluster	Achievement Points		Progress Points		Achievement Gap Points		Challenge Points				CCRPI Score		Single Score	
									ETB Points		EL/ED/SWD Performance Points					
			2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013
STATE	All Schools	E	45.6	47.5	16.3	16.5	7	9	0	0.7	5.6	4.8	74.5	78.5	74.1	75.8
SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	E	32.3	34.6	16.4	13.7	9	10	0.5	1	0	0	58.2	59.3	57.7	59.3
SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	E	48.8	50.7	16.6	16.7	9	11	0	0.5	2	2.6	76.4	81.5	75.4	81.4
SCSC	Coweta Charter Academy	E	50.6	43.7	17.5	19.7	15	11	0.5	0.5	3.4	1.5	87	76.4	87	76.4
SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	E	36.6	35.6	10.4	15.2	0	15	0.5	0.5	0	1.6	47.5	67.9	48.2	65.6
SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	E	40.5	44.4	14.7	14.7	0	13	0.5	0.5	6	4.3	61.7	76.9	63.7	77.6
SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	E	35.2	31.8	13.8	14.2	0	N/A	0.5	0.5	0	2.1	49.5	56.7	54.9	58.4
SCSC	Odyssey School	E	42.7	40.8	13.5	14.7	2	7	0	0.5	0	0	58.2	63	60.2	63.6
SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	E	45.7	49.7	17.5	15.9	14	6	0.5	0.5	6.3	7	84	79.1	85.4	79.6
SCSC	Scholars Academy Charter School	E	33.8	29.9	14	13.3	9	3	0	0	0	0	56.8	46.2	56.8	46.2
STATE	All Schools	M	46.8	48.3	16.5	16.7	8	7	0	0.4	2.5	2.6	73.8	75	74.1	75.8
SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	M	36.9	39.3	12.6	15.4	1	1	0	0.5	3.7	0.9	54.2	59.1	57.7	59.3
SCSC	CCAT School	M	47.1	46.2	13.5	14.9	N/A	15	0	0	N/A	0	71.3	76.1	68.8	34.8
SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	M	44.2	52.8	16	16.4	9	9	0	1	1.9	1.9	71.1	81.1	75.4	81.4
SCSC	Fulton Leadership Academy	M	49.8	52.3	18	18.7	14	14	0	0.5	7.1	10	88.9	95.5	88.9	95.5
SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	M	38.3	43.9	10.5	14.8	0	13	0	0	0	1.4	48.8	73.1	48.2	65.6
SCSC	Heritage Preparatory Academy School	M	35.3	41.8	10.2	12.7	0	11	0	0	3.1	3.4	48.6	68.9	48.6	68.9
SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	M	45	48.9	16.4	17.7	5	8	0	0	2.5	4.4	68.9	79	63.7	77.6
SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	M	45	50.5	16.4	16.4	10	10	0	0	5.1	2.7	82.1	79.6	81.2	75.5
SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	M	42	42.6	17	13.4	N/A	5	0	0	4.3	1	73.7	62	54.9	58.4
SCSC	Odyssey School	M	40.7	41.3	14.1	14.7	2	8	0	0	3.4	2	60.2	66	60.2	63.6
SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	M	53.6	48.7	18	15.9	15	9	0.5	0	4.7	5.3	91.8	80.9	85.4	79.6
STATE	All Schools	H	43.1	43.6	16.3	16.3	11.3	8.7	0	0.2	2.1	3.2	72.8	72	74.1	75.8
SCSC	CCAT School	H	37.5	N/A	10.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	56.5	N/A	68.8	34.8
SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	H	N/A	32.8	N/A	15.8	N/A	5	N/A	0	N/A	1.7	N/A	55.3	N/A	65.6
SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	H	48.5	40.5	17.3	12.7	10	5	0.5	0	0	0	76.3	58.2	81.2	75.5
SCSC	Mountain Education Center School	H	30.3	29.7	17.2	16.4	10	11.3	0	0	0	5.3	57.5	62.7	57.5	62.7
SCSC	Odyssey School	H	41.8	39.1	13.8	13.4	8.3	6.3	0	0	1.2	2.1	65.1	60.9	60.2	63.6
SCSC	Provost Academy Georgia	H	N/A	24.7	N/A	11.1	7	1.2	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	37	N/A	37

APPENDIX B:
ANALYSIS OF 2013 CCRPI PERFORMANCE AND STATE CHARTER SCHOOL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Summary

- The SCSC’s 2012-2013 State Charter School Performance Evaluation Report (PER) examined the following measures:
 - 1) student performance on state standardized assessments,
 - 2) a “value-added” model (VAM) that includes statistical controls for observable student characteristics and prior academic performance, and
 - 3) the student growth model utilized in the CCRPI (Student Growth Percentiles or SGPs).

- The College and Career Readiness Performance Index (CCRPI) results are calculated based on the following categorical weights:
 - 1) Achievement (primarily standardized test results) = 54.5% of total possible score,
 - 2) Progress (the percentage of students earning typical or high growth on state assessments as measured by Student Growth Percentiles or SGPs) = 22.7% of total possible score,
 - 3) Achievement Gap Closure = 13.6% of total possible score, and
 - 4) Challenge Points (for innovative practices and subgroup performance of economically disadvantaged students, students with disabilities, and English Language Learners on performance flags set forth in the ESEA waiver) = 9.1% of total possible score.

Both the PER and the CCRPI are useful school-level accountability tools; however, they were created for different purposes and include different performance indicators. As a result, comparisons between CCRPI scores and the PER are sometimes difficult to make. For instance, because value-add impact scores are produced by comparing actual and predicted student performance on state standardized assessment while also controlling for student demographics, there are instances in which:

- 1) a school may be outperforming its comparison district on the CCRPI, yet it has a negative value-add impact score. This indicates that the school’s actual performance was lower than its predicted performance (given the characteristics and performance history of the school’s student population). In other words, the school was predicted to perform at an even higher level.
- 2) a school may not be outperforming its comparison district on the CCRPI, yet it has a positive value-add impact score. This indicates that the school’s actual performance exceeds its predicted performance (given the characteristics and performance history of the school’s student population). In other words, the school was predicted to perform at a lower level.

The following section highlights each schools performance on the CCRPI to its value-add impact score.

ANALYSIS OF 2013 CCRPI PERFORMANCE AND VALUE-ADD PERFORMANCE, Table 1

Comparison of CCRPI and Value-Added Performance—High to Low by CCRPI

E = Elementary, M = Middle, H =High

School Year	System Name	School Names (In Alphabetical Order)	Grade Cluster	2012-2013 CCRPI Score	Outperforming the District	2012-2013 Overall School Effect
2013	STATE	All Schools	E	77.8	N/A	N/A
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	E	81.5	Y	0.0572
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	E	79.1	Y	-0.0094
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	E	76.9	Y	-0.0730
2013	SCSC	Coweta Charter Academy	E	76.4	N	0.1311
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	E	67.9	N	0.0027
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	E	63	N	-0.0560
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	E	59.3	N	-0.1131
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	E	56.7	N	-0.0630
2013	SCSC	Scholars Academy Charter School	E	46.2	N	-0.1194
2013	STATE	All Schools	M	74.9	N/A	N/A
2013	SCSC	Fulton Leadership Academy	M	95.5	Y	0.1757
2013	SCSC	Cherokee Charter Academy	M	81.1	N	-0.0897
2013	SCSC	Pataula Charter Academy	M	80.9	Y	0.0029
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	M	79.6	N	0.0096
2013	SCSC	Ivy Prep Academy at Kirkwood for Girls	M	79	Y	0.0392
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	M	76.1	Y	0.0279
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	M	73.1	N	-0.0144
2013	SCSC	Heritage Preparatory Academy School	M	68.9	Y	-0.1571
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	M	66	N	-0.0352
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy	M	62	Y	-0.1694
2013	SCSC	Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School	M	59.1	N	-0.0673
2013	STATE	All Schools	H	72	N/A	N/A**
2013	SCSC	Mountain Education Center School	H	62.7	N	N/A**
2013	SCSC	Odyssey School	H	60.9	N	N/A**
2013	SCSC	Ivy Preparatory Academy (Gwinnett)	H	58.2	N	N/A**
2013	SCSC	Georgia Connections Academy	H	55.3	N	N/A**
2013	SCSC	Provost Academy Georgia	H	37	N	N/A**
2013	SCSC	CCAT School	H	N/A	N/A	N/A**

**Unlike the single value-added impact scores that were generated for elementary and middle schools (which represent all-subject averages), multiple impact scores were generated for high schools across each subject area. The reasons for this are outlined as follows:

1. The standardized assessment administered in grades 3-8 are Criterion Referenced Competency Tests (or CRCTs). The CRCT assesses all five subject areas—Math, Science, English/Language Arts, Reading, and Social Studies—in each grade level every year. Therefore, an all-subject average can be computed for all elementary and middle schools even if they only served a single grade in a school level (e.g. a K-6 school with test scores in elementary grades 3-5 and test scores for the middle grade 6).
2. The standardized assessments administered in high schools are End-Of-Course-Tests (or EOCTs). Each school usually administers a specific EOCT in only one grade, and most state charter schools did not serve all grade levels 9-12 in 2012-2013. The few schools that did serve all grade levels 9-12 in 2012-2013 had small cohorts in some one or more grade level so that value added scores were not available for all EOCT subjects (e.g. CCAT has students enrolled in grades 9-12, but only has value added scores for 9th-Grade Literature, American Literature and Economics). As a result, the subjects for which we have value-added information would have been too varied to provide meaningful all-subject average. In future years, as state charters expand their high school grades (and EOCT subjects), it may be practical to construct an all-subject average.


ATLANTA HEIGHTS

2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance


Key Findings:

- The SCSC Value-Added Performance Report results are similar to the CCRPI results which show Atlanta Heights Charter Commission School performing below the state average in elementary and middle school grades.
 - *CCRPI Elementary*: Atlanta Heights Charter School's CCRPI score is **59.3**. This is below the district score of 67.7.
 - *CCRPI Middle*: Atlanta Heights Charter School's CCRPI score is **59.1**. This is below the district score of 65.8.
 - *Value-Add*: Atlanta Heights Charter School is strong in middle school science, but its performance in math and social studies is weak relative to both the district and the state. While the school's contribution to student achievement is above the district and state average in middle school science, it is below the district and state average in math, science, and social studies in elementary grades and in math and social studies in middle grades. Overall, the school's actual performance fell below its predicted performance, and the estimated school effect was **-0.1131** for elementary grades and **-0.0673** for middle grades.

Elementary Grades



Middle Grades




CHARTER CONSERVATORY FOR LIBERAL ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY (CCAT)

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance

Key Findings:

- The SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results are similar to the CCRPI results which show Charter Conservatory for Liberal Arts and Technology performing above the district average in middle school grades.
 - *CCRPI Middle*: Charter Conservatory for Liberal Arts and Technology’s CCRPI score is **76.1**. This is above the district score of 75.5.
 - *CCRPI High*: Charter Conservatory for Liberal Arts and Technology’s high school grades had too few full academic year (FAY) students testing in individual subject area assessments to generate an accurate CCRPI score for the high school grade band.
 - *Value-Add*: Charter Conservatory for Liberal Arts and Technology is strong in middle school reading, but its performance in middle school math is weak relative to the district. While the school’s contribution to student achievement is above the district and state average in middle school reading, it is below the district average in middle school math. Overall, the school’s actual performance exceeded its predicted performance, and CCAT’s estimated school effect was **0.0279** for middle grades and **0.0215** (9th Grade Literature), **0.0792** (American Literature), and **0.0315** (Economics) for high school grades.

Middle Grades



High School Grades*

CCAT	Value-Added				
	Controls for Student Demographics and Prior Test Scores)				
Grade Level and Subject	School Effect (higher/lower than predicted score)	State Percentile (Higher is better)	Statistically different from state average?	District Rank (lower is better)	Statistically Different From District Average?
<i>High</i>					
9 th Grade Literature	0.0215	56	No	2 of 4	No
American Literature	0.0792	72	No	1 of 4	No
Economics	0.0315	55	No	2 of 4	No

*Unlike the single value-added impact scores that were generated for elementary and middle schools (which represent all-subject averages), multiple impact scores were generated for high schools across each subject area because the subjects for which we have value-added information vary across state charters serving one or more of grades 9-12. In future years, as state charters expand their high school grades (and EOCT subjects), it may be practical to construct an all-subject average.


CHEROKEE CHARTER ACADEMY

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance


Key Findings:

- The SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results are similar to the CCRPI results which shows Cherokee Charter Academy performing above district average for elementary school grades and below the district average in middle grades.
 - *CCRPI Elementary*: Cherokee Charter Academy's CCRPI score is **81.5**. This is above the district score of 77.3.
 - *CCRPI Middle*: Cherokee Charter Academy's CCRPI score is **81.1**. This is below the district score of 83.8.
 - *Value-Add Elementary*: Cherokee Charter Academy is performing above the district and state average in elementary science and social studies, but below the district average in elementary math. Overall, the school's actual performance exceeded its predicted performance in elementary grades with an estimated school effect of **0.0572**.
 - *Value-Add Middle*: Cherokee Charter Academy is performing below the state and district averages in middle grades math, science, reading, and ELA. Overall, the school's actual performance fell below its predicted performance in middle grades with an estimated school effect of **-0.0897**.

Elementary Grades



Middle Grades




COWETA CHARTER ACADEMY

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance

Key Findings:

- Unlike the CCRPI which shows Coweta Charter Academy performing below the average of their comparison district, the SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results indicate that the school’s actual performance exceeded its predicted performance.
 - *CCRPI Elementary:* Coweta Charter Academy’s CCRPI score is **76.4**. This is below the district score of 77.2.
 - *Value-Add Elementary:* Coweta Charter Academy’s strengths include elementary reading and ELA. The school’s contribution to student achievement is above the district and state average in reading and ELA and above the district average in science and social studies. Overall, the school’s actual performance exceeded its predicted performance, and Coweta Charter Academy’s estimated school effect is **0.1311** in elementary grades.

Elementary Grades




FULTON LEADERSHIP ACADEMY

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance

Key Findings:

- The SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results are similar to the CCRPI results which show Fulton Leadership Academy performing above state average for middle school grades.
 - *CCRPI Middle:* Fulton Leadership Academy’s CCRPI score is **95.5**. This is above the district score of 75.2.
 - *Value-Add Middle:* The school’s contribution to student achievement is above the district and state average in reading, ELA, math and social studies and above the district average in science. Overall, the school’s actual performance exceeded its predicted performance, and Fulton Leadership Academy’s estimated school effect is **0.1757** in middle grades.

Middle Grades




GEORGIA CONNECTIONS ACADEMY (VIRTUAL)

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance


Key Findings:

- The SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results are similar to the CCRPI results which show Georgia Connections Academy performing below state average for middle school grades. However the SCSC Value-Added Performance Report results show the elementary school grades performing above state averages, which differs from the CCRPI results which shows the elementary grades performing below state average. Because the school serves students throughout the state it does not have a district comparison group.
 - *CCRPI Elementary:* Georgia Connections Academy’s CCRPI score is **67.9**. This is below the state score of 78.5.
 - *CCRPI Middle:* Georgia Connections Academy’s CCRPI score is **73.1**. This is below the state score of 75.
 - *CCRPI High:* Georgia Connections Academy’s CCRPI score is **55.3**. This is below the state score of 72.
 - *Value-Add Elementary:* Georgia Connections Academy’s is performing below the state average in elementary school math, and above the state average in reading and ELA. Overall, the school’s actual performance exceeded its predicted performance in elementary grades with an estimated school effect of **0.0027**.
 - *Value-Add Middle:* Georgia Connections Academy is performing below the state average in middle school math, science, and social studies, and above the state average in reading and ELA. Overall, the school’s actual performance fell below its predicted performance in middle grades with an estimated school effect of **-0.0144**.
 - *Value-Add High:* Georgia Connections Academy’s actual performance fell below its predicted performance in U.S. History (school effect: **-0.3855**), and Economics (school effect: **-0.1274**) and exceeded its predicted performance in 9th Grade Literature (school effect: **0.2509**), American Literature (school effect: **0.2710**), and Biology (school effect: **0.1077**).

Elementary Grades




Middle Grades



(Georgia Connections Academy, Continued)

High School Grades*



GACA	Value-Added (Controls for Student Demographics and Prior Test Scores)		
	School Effect	State Percentile (Higher is better)	Statistically different from state average?
<i>High</i>			
9 th Grade Literature	0.2509	98	Higher
American Literature	0.2710	97	Higher
Biology	0.1077	74	No
U.S. History	-0.3855	6	Lower
Economics	-0.1274	30	No

*Unlike the single value-added impact scores that were generated for elementary and middle schools (which represent all-subject averages), multiple impact scores were generated for high schools across each subject area because the subjects for which we have value-added information vary across state charters serving one or more of grades 9-12. In future years, as state charters expand their high school grades (and EOCT subjects), it may be practical to construct an all-subject average.


HERITAGE PREPARATORY ACADEMY

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance

Key Findings:

- Unlike the CCRPI results which show Heritage Preparatory Academy performing above the district average in middle grades, the SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results indicate that the school’s actual performance fell below its predicted performance.
 - *CCRPI Middle:* Heritage Preparatory Academy’s CCRPI score is **68.9**. This is above the district score of 65.8.
 - *Value-Add Middle:* Heritage Preparatory Academy’s performance in math, science and social studies is weak relative to both the district and the state, and its contribution to student achievement does not exceed the state or district averages in any subject. Overall, the school’s actual performance fell below its predicted performance with an estimated school effect of **-0.1571**.

Middle Grades




IVY PREPARATORY ACADEMY (GWINNETT)

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance

Key Findings:


- Unlike the CCRPI which shows Ivy Preparatory Academy at Gwinnett performing below the average of their comparison district in both middle grades and high school, the SCSC Value-Added Performance Report results indicate that the school's actual performance exceeded its predicted performance in middle and high school grade bands.
 - *CCRPI Middle:* Ivy Preparatory Academy at Gwinnett CCRPI score is **79.6**. This is below the district score of 86.2.
 - *CCRPI High School:* Ivy Preparatory Academy at Gwinnett CCRPI score is **58.2**. This is below the district score of 75.1.
 - *Value-Add Middle:* Ivy Preparatory Academy at Gwinnett's contribution to student achievement is above the district average in middle school math, it is below the district average in middle school reading and science and the district average in social studies. Overall, the school's actual performance exceeded its predicted performance with an estimated school effect of **0.0096** in middle grades.
 - *Value-Add High School:* Ivy Preparatory Academy at Gwinnett's contribution to student achievement is above the district average in the only two subject area EOCT assessments it currently offers: 9th Grade Literature (school effect: **0.1593**) and Biology (school effect: **0.0626**).

Middle Grades



Ivy Prep Gwinnett (Continued)

High School Grades*



IP Gwinnett	Value-Added (Controls for Student Demographics and Prior Test Scores)					
	Grade Level and Subject	School Effect	State Percentile (Higher is better)	Statistically different from state average?	District Rank (lower is better)	Statistically Different From District Average?
<i>High</i>						
	9 th Grade Literature	0.1593	90	No	2 of 23	No
	Biology	0.0626	63	No	11 of 23	No

*Unlike the single value-added impact scores that were generated for elementary and middle schools (which represent all-subject averages), multiple impact scores were generated for high schools across each subject area because the subjects for which we have value-added information vary across state charters serving one or more of grades 9-12. In future years, as state charters expand their high school grades (and EOCT subjects), it may be practical to construct an all-subject average.


IVY PREPARATORY ACADEMY (KIRKWOOD)

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance


Key Findings:

- Unlike the CCRPI results which show Ivy Preparatory Academy at Kirkwood for Girls' performing above the district average for elementary and middle school grades, the SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results indicate that the school's actual performance fell below its predicted performance in both elementary grade bands but exceeded its predicted performance in middle school grade bands.
 - *CCRPI Elementary:* Ivy Preparatory Academy at Kirkwood for Girls' CCRPI score is **76.9**. This is above the district score of 63.6.
 - *CCRPI Middle:* Ivy Preparatory Academy at Kirkwood for Girls' CCRPI score is **79**. This is above the district score of 60.2.
 - *Value-Add Elementary:* Ivy Preparatory Academy at Kirkwood for Girls' contribution to student achievement is above the district average in elementary social studies, below the district average in elementary math, and indistinguishable from the district in elementary reading, ELA, and science. Overall, the school's actual performance fell below its predicted performance in elementary grades with an estimated school effect of **-0.073**.
 - *Value-Add Middle:* Ivy Preparatory Academy at Kirkwood for Girls' contribution to student achievement is above the district average in middle school reading, math, and social studies, and indistinguishable from the district in middle school ELA. Overall, the school's actual performance fell below its predicted performance in middle grades with an estimated school effect of **0.0392**.

Elementary Grades



Middle Grades




IVY PREPARATORY YOUNG MEN'S LEADERSHIP ACADEMY

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance


Key Findings:

- The SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results are similar to the CCRPI results for elementary school which show Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy performing below the district average in elementary grades. However, unlike the CCRPI results which show the school outperforming its comparison district in middle school grades, the SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results indicate that Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy's actual performance fell below its predicted performance for the middle school grade band.
 - *CCRPI Elementary:* Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy's CCRPI score is **56.7**. This is below the district score of 63.6.
 - *CCRPI Middle:* Ivy Preparatory Young Men's Leadership Academy's CCRPI score is **62**. This is above the district score of 60.2.
 - *Value-Add Elementary:* The school's contribution to student achievement is indistinguishable from the district average in elementary reading, ELA, math, science, and social studies. Overall, the school's actual performance fell below its predicted performance in elementary grades with an estimated school effect of **-0.063**.
 - *Value-Add Middle:* The school's contribution to student achievement is below the district average middle school social studies, and indistinguishable from the district in middle school math, science, ELA, and reading. Overall, the school's actual performance fell below its predicted performance in middle grades with an estimated school effect of **-0.1694**.

Elementary Grades



Middle Grades




MOUNTAIN EDUCATION CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance

Key Findings:

- Unlike the CCRPI results which show Mountain Education Charter High School performing below state average for high school grades, the SCSC Value – Added Performance Report results indicate that the school’s contribution to student achievement was higher than the state average in 9th Grade Literature. Because the school serves students throughout the state it does not have a district comparison group.
 - *CCRPI High School:* Mountain Education Center High School’s CCRPI score is **62.7**. This is below the state score of 72.
 - *Value-Add High School:* Mountain Education Center’s contribution to student achievement exceeded the state average in 9th grade literature and was indistinguishable from the state average in American Literature, Math II, Biology, U.S. History, and Economics. Overall, the school’s actual performance exceeded its predicted performance in the majority of subject areas including 9th Grade Literature (school effect: **0.3869**), American Literature (school effect: **0.0455**), Biology (school effect: **0.0423**), and Economics (school effect: **0.0706**), and the school fell below its predicted performance level in Math II (school effect: **-0.0339**) and U.S. History (school effect: **-0.0203**).

High School Grades*



Grade Level and Subject	Value-Added (Controls for Student Demographics and Prior Test Scores)		
	School Effect	State Percentile (Higher is better)	Statistically different from state average?
<i>High</i>			
9 th Grade Literature	0.3869	99	Higher
American Literature	0.0455	62	No
Math II	-0.0339	51	No
Biology	0.0423	60	No
U.S. History	-0.0203	49	No
Economics	0.0706	62	No

*Unlike the single value-added impact scores that were generated for elementary and middle schools (which represent all-subject averages), multiple impact scores were generated for high schools across each subject area because the subjects for which we have value-added information vary across state charters serving one or more of grades 9-12. In future years, as state charters expand their high school grades (and EOCT subjects), it may be practical to construct an all-subject average.

ODYSSEY SCHOOL/GEORGIA CYBER ACADEMY (VIRTUAL COMPONENT)

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance

Key Findings:


- The SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results are similar to the CCRPI results which show Odyssey School/ Georgia Cyber Academy performing below the state average in elementary and middle school grade. Despite the below average high school CCRPI score, however, the SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results indicate that Odyssey School/GCA’s contribution to student achievement exceeded the state average in two of the four EOCT assessments it administers. Because the school serves students throughout the state it does not have a district comparison group.
 - *CCRPI Elementary:* Odyssey School’s CCRPI score is **63**. This is below the state score of 78.5.
 - *CCRPI Middle:* Odyssey School’s CCRPI score is **66**. This is below the state score of 75.
 - *CCRPI High:* Odyssey School’s CCRPI score is **60.9**. This is below the state score of 72.

 - *Value-Add Elementary:* Odyssey School’s contribution to student achievement was above the state average in elementary reading and ELA and below the state average in elementary math, science, and social studies. Overall, the school’s actual performance fell below its predicted performance in elementary grades with an estimated effect score of **-0.056**.
 - *Value-Add Middle:* Odyssey School’s contribution to student achievement was above the state average in middle school reading and ELA and below the state average in middle school math, science, and social studies. Overall, the school’s actual performance fell below its predicted performance in elementary grades with an estimated effect score of **-0.0352**.
 - *Value-Add High School:* Odyssey School’s contribution to student achievement was above the state average in 9th Grade Literature and American Literature and below the state average in Biology and U.S. History. Overall, the school’s actual performance exceeded its predicted performance in 9th Grade Literature (school effect: **0.1888**), and American Literature (school effect: **0.1480**), and fell below its predicted performance level in Biology (school effect: **-0.2281**) and U.S. History (school effect: **-0.3997**).


Charts continued on p. 45.

Odyssey School/GCA (continued)


Elementary Grades



Middle Grades



High School Grades*



Grade Level and Subject	Value-Added (Controls for Student Demographics and Prior Test Scores)		
	School Effect	State Percentile (Higher is better)	Statistically different from state average?
<i>High</i>			
9 th Grade Literature	0.1888	94	Higher
American Literature	0.1480	86	Higher
Biology	-0.2281	10	Lower
U.S. History	-0.3997	5	Lower

*Unlike the single value-added impact scores that were generated for elementary and middle schools (which represent all-subject averages), multiple impact scores were generated for high schools across each subject area because the subjects for which we have value-added information vary across state charters serving one or more of grades 9-12. In future years, as state charters expand their high school grades (and EOCT subjects), it may be practical to construct an all-subject average.


PATAULA CHARTER ACADEMY

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance


Key Findings:

- The SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results in middle school grades are similar to the corresponding CCRPI results which show Pataula Charter Academy performing above the state average. However, unlike the elementary school CCRPI results which show Pataula Charter Academy performing above state average, the SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results indicate that Pataula Charter Academy’s contribution to student achievement in elementary school grades is actually below the average of its comparison districts.
- *CCRPI Elementary:* Pataula Charter Academy’s CCRPI score is **79.1**. This is above the average score of the school’s comparison districts (58.7).
- *CCRPI Middle:* Pataula Charter Academy’s CCRPI score is **80.9**. This is above the average score of the school’s comparison districts (54.5).
- *Value-Add Elementary:* Pataula Charter Academy’s contribution to student achievement is above the five-county average of its comparison districts in elementary school social studies, and indistinguishable from the five-county average in elementary reading, ELA, math, and science. Overall, the school’s actual performance fell below its predicted performance level in elementary grades with an estimated school effect of **-0.0094**.
- *Value-Add Middle:* Pataula Charter Academy’s contribution to student achievement is above the five-county average of its comparison districts in middle school social studies, and indistinguishable from the five-county average in middle school reading, ELA, math and science. Overall, the school’s actual performance exceeded its predicted performance level in middle grades with an estimated effect of **0.0029**.

Elementary Grades



Middle Grades




PROVOST ACADEMY GEORGIA (VIRTUAL)

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance

Key Findings:

- The SCSC Value-Added Performance Report Results are similar to the CCRPI results which show *Provost Academy* performing below the state average in high school grades. Because the school serves students throughout the state it does not have a district comparison group.
 - *CCRPI High School: Provost Academy's CCRPI score is 37.* This is below the state score of 72.
 - *Value-Add High School: Provost Academy's contribution to student achievement is above the state average in 9th Grade Literature, indistinguishable from the state average in American Literature, and below the state average in Math II, Biology, U.S. History, and Economics* Overall, the school's actual performance exceeded its predicted performance in 9th Grade Literature (school effect: **0.1512**) and American Literature (school effect: **0.0219**) and fell below its predicted performance in Math II (school effect: **-0.6449**), Biology (school effect: **-0.2937**), U.S. History (school effect: **-0.4907**), and Economics **-0.2909**).

High School Grades*



Provost	Value-Added (Controls for Student Demographics and Prior Test Scores)		
	Grade Level and Subject	School Effect	State Percentile (Higher is better)
<i>High</i>			
9 th Grade Literature	0.1512	89	Higher
American Literature	0.0219	62	No
Math II	-0.6449	1	Lower
Biology	-0.2937	5	Lower
U.S. History	-0.4907	2	Lower
Economics	-0.2909	12	Lower

*Unlike the single value-added impact scores that were generated for elementary and middle schools (which represent all-subject averages), multiple impact scores were generated for high schools across each subject area because the subjects for which we have value-added information vary across state charters serving one or more of grades 9-12. In future years, as state charters expand their high school grades (and EOCT subjects), it may be practical to construct an all-subject average.


SCHOLARS ACADEMY

Analysis of 2013 CCRPI Performance Compared to Value-Add Performance

Key Findings:

- The SCSC Value –Added Performance Report results are similar to the CCRPI results which show Scholars Academy performing below the district average in elementary school grades.
 - *CCRPI Elementary:* Scholars Academy’s CCRPI score is **46.2**. This is below the district score of 67.7.
 - *Value-Add Elementary:* Scholars Academy’s contribution to student achievement does not outpace the district in any subject area and is below the district average in elementary math and social studies. The school is indistinguishable from the district in elementary reading, ELA and science. Overall, the school’s actual performance fell below its predicted performance level with an estimated school effect of **-0.1194**.

Elementary Grades



ANALYSIS OF 2013 CCRPI PERFORMANCE AND VALUE-ADD PERFORMANCE

